IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

JANE DOE,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No.: 1:21cv1150(AJT/JFA)
FAIRFAX POLICE OFFICER #1, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
)	

VERDICT FORM

A. Plaintiff Must Prove She is a Victim Under the TVPRA

Do you find that the plaintiff has established by a preponderance of the evidence that she was a human trafficking victim under the TVPRA?

_____YES _____NO

If you have answered NO to the above question, there is nothing further for you to consider, and your foreperson should sign and date this verdict form and return it to the court.

B. Claim of Obstruction or Interference with enforcement of the TVPRA

Do you find, as to the defendants listed below, that plaintiff has established by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant obstructed or interfered with enforcement of the TVPRA?

As to Micha	el Barbazette
YES	NO
As to Jason	Mardocco
YES	NO
As to James	Baumstark
YES	NO
As to Edwin	Roessler
YES	NO

C. Claim of Conspiracy to Obstruct or Interfere with enforcement of the TVPRA

Do you find, as to the defendants listed below, that plaintiff has established by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant conspired with Hazel Sanchez to obstruct or interfere with enforcement of the TVPRA?

As to Michae	Barbazeπe	
YES	NO	
As to Jason N	Mardocco	
YES	NO	
As to James Baumstark		
YES	NО	
As to Edwin Roessler		
YES	NO	

If you have answered NO to <u>each</u> of the above questions, there is nothing further for you to consider, and your foreperson should sign and date this verdict form and return it to the court.

If you have answered YES as to any of the above questions, then you should proceed to answer the questions below, but only as to the defendant(s) for whom you provided a YES answer above.

D. Statute of Limitations

On the issue of the statute of limitations, each defendant must establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he did not engage in any interference or obstruction to enforce the TVPRA or conspiracy to interfere or obstruct enforcement of the TVPRA during the period December 16, 2011 through the date on which you find that plaintiff was no longer associated with Hazel Sanchez. Do you find, as to the defendants listed below, that he has met this burden of proof:

As to Micha	el Barbazette	
YES	NO	
As to Jason	Mardocco	
YES	NO	
As to James	Baumstark	
YES	,NO	
As to Edwin Roessler		
YES	NO	

E. Compensatory Damages

You should only consider damages as to any defendant for whom you answered YES to any of the questions in sections B or C above <u>and</u> NO to the question in section D. As to such defendants, what damages, if any, has Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered as a result of the defendant's conduct?

As to Michael Barbazette	\$
As to Jason Mardocco	\$
As to James Baumstark	\$
As to Edwin Roessler	\$

F. Punitive Damages

If you have awarded compensatory damages against any Defendant, you may but are not required to award punitive damages against that Defendant. As to any such Defendant, what if any amount of punitive damages do you award?

As to Michael Barbazette \$_______

As to Jason Mardocco \$______

As to James Baumstark \$______

As to Edwin Roessler \$

THIS IS OUR UNANIMOUS VERDICT.

Signature of Jury Foreperson

Date